# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# **BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/831,046	05/03/2001	Martin Euchner	P01,0142	8224
24131 7	590 08/09/2004		EXAM	INER
LERNER AND GREENBERG, PA			DINH, MINH	
P O BOX 2480 HOLLYWOOI	) D, FL 33022-2480		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
110221 001	.,	•	2132	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/831,046	EUCHNER, MARTIN				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Minh Dinh	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication  Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, b  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the property of the property of the statutory minimum of the property of the statute. Cause the application to become A statute. Cause the application to become A.	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this cornmunication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the appli 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	rithdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 May 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for  a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority doc  3. △ Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in he priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	.948) Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Braitsperson's Patent Brawing Review (* 13)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/3/2001.	J. J	f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2132

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-10 have been examined.

#### Oath/Declaration

2. The oath or declaration is defective. The title of the invention identified in the declaration does not match the title identified in the specification.

#### Specification

- 3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
- a. The group of units  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  with n as a composite integer (par. 0021) is in a separate category and does not belong to the multiplicative group  $\mathbf{F}_q^*$  of a finite body  $\mathbf{F}_q$  (paragraphs 0017-0020).
- b. The phrase "gx mod p" (substitute specification, par. 0044) should be changed to "g" mod p" (see figures 1 and 2).

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/831,046

Art Unit: 2132

a. Regarding claim 1, it recites the limitation "a second code" in the 8<sup>th</sup> line. There is no reference to a first code. For examination purpose, the limitation is interpreted as "a code"

- b. Regarding claim 1, it recites the limitation "said second key" in the 14<sup>th</sup> line.
   There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For examination purpose, the limitation is interpreted as "a second key".
- c. Regarding claim 2, it recites the limitation "and said first operation is an RSA function xg". The first function is either a Diffie-Hellman function or an RSA function (specification, par. 0016). The limitation is interpreted as "or said first operation is an RSA function xg".
- d. Regarding claim 3, the limitation "a group of units  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  with n as a composite integer (8<sup>th</sup> line) does not belong to the multiplicative group  $\mathbf{F}_q^*$  of a finite body  $\mathbf{F}_q$  (3<sup>rd</sup> line). For examination purpose, the limitation is treated as a separate category.
- e. Regarding claim 7, it recites the limitation "said transmitted data". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. For examination purpose, the limitation is interpreted as "transmitted data".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2132

7. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jablon ("Strong Password-Only Authenticated Key Exchange").

a. Regarding claim 1, which is representative of claims 8 and 10, Jablon discloses a method, comprising the steps of:

carrying out a first operation  $A(R_A,g)$  on a prescribed known value g and on a value  $R_A$  known only to a first entity, which meets the limitation of x, said first operation  $A(R_A,g)$  being an asymmetric cryptographic method, thus producing a first operation result (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5);

encoding said first operation result utilizing a first key, which is a password and known to said first and to a second entity, said encoding being carried out with said first key utilizing a symmetrical encoding method, thus producing an encoded first operation result, said first operation result being a code with which said first entity is authorized to undertake a service on said second entity (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5; Section 3, p. 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> paragraphs);

transmitting said encoded first operation result by said first entity to said second entity (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5);

decoding said encoded first operation result by said second entity with said first key, and the first entity is thereby authenticated (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5; Section 3, p. 4, 3<sup>rd</sup> and 5<sup>th</sup> paragraphs);

Art Unit: 2132

determining a second key in relation to  $G(gR_AR_B)$ , by said second entity carrying out a second operation  $G(gR_B)$  with a secret number  $R_B$  known only to it, which meets the limitation of y (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5);

encoding a result of said second operation with said first key (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5); and

transmitting said encoded second operation result to said first entity (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5).

- b. Regarding claim 2, Jablon further discloses that the first operation is a Diffie-Hellman function  $(G(\alpha R_A))$ , G() being an arbitrary, finite cyclic group G( (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5; Section 3, p. 4,  $4^{th}$  paragraph).
- c. Regarding claim 3, Jablon further discloses that the first operation is carried out in the multiplicative group  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$  of the integers modulo prime p (Appendix A, p. 22, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph.).
- d. Regarding claim 4, Jablon further discloses that the second key is a session key (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5; Section 3, p. 4, 5<sup>th</sup> paragraph).
- e. Regarding claim 5, Jablon further discloses that the Diffie-Hellman method is used to generate the second key (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5).
- f. Regarding claims 6 and 9, Jablon further discloses encoding being carried out with the first key utilizing a cryptographic one-way function (Section 1.1, p. 2, 7<sup>th</sup> paragraph).
- g. Regarding claim 7, Jablon further discloses that transmitted data are confidential data. (Section 3.2 DH-EKE, p. 5; Section 3, p. 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraphs).

Art Unit: 2132

#### Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Menezes, "Handbook of Applied Cryptography", discloses the discrete logarithm problem.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5617. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri: 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on 703-305-1830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MD

Minh Dinh Examiner

GILBERTO BARRON
DEPUISORY PATENT EVANGINED

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Art Unit: 2132

Art Unit 2132

MD 7/28/04